Reconstruction of chronic extensor hallucis longus tendon rupture using plantaris tendon graft
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Abstract

Chronic tendon ruptures can be difficult to manage as end-to-end repair can be challenging. In this scenario, reconstruction techniques with tendon grafts may be useful. The ideal tendon graft would be one that has similar biomechanical properties, low donor-site morbidity and length compatible with the tendon gap. We describe a safe technique for the reconstruction of a chronic extensor hallucis longus tendon rupture using a plantaris tendon graft.
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Introduction

The treatment of neglected or chronic extensor hallucis longus (EHL) tendon ruptures can be challenging. Retraction or degeneration of the tendon stumps are not uncommon, and the presence of some degree of tendon retraction and/or degeneration often makes primary repair impossible, requiring the surgeon to master reconstruction techniques using grafts or tendon transfers. Reconstruction techniques using extensor digitorum longus (EDL), semitendinosus, gracilis, peroneal longus, and plantaris tendons have been described in the literature, but there is no consensus on which technique is optimal.

Here we present a technical tip using a reconstruction technique with free plantaris tendon graft to treat a chronic EHL rupture in a 33-year-old patient. This is a safe procedure associated with less morbidity than other techniques.

Clinical symptoms & radiological findings

A 33-year-old woman presented with the complaint of limited right hallux range of motion (ROM) for 5 months. She had dropped a sharp object on her right foot 4 years earlier. Physical examination showed a hallux flexus deformity with loss of active and passive dorsiflexion (Figure 1A-H). Muscle strength was decreased in dorsiflexion to the right hallux.

Foot and ankle radiographic findings were normal. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed an EHL rupture with the proximal stump at the level of the head of the talus (Figure 2A-G). The proximal and distal tendon ends were irregular and tortuous with a heterogenous sign. MRI also showed a dorsal talar osteophyte. Dynamic ultrasound confirmed the EHL rupture, showing the proximal stump at the level of the talonavicular joint and the distal stump at the mid-diaphysis of the first metatarsal. During the dynamic maneuver, we
Figure 1. Preoperative clinical photographs.

Figure 2. Intraoperative and postoperative photographs.
observed a synchronic motion of the two stumps—they were apparently still partially connected by a thin (1mm) fibrous scar tissue. Electromyography of the right lower limb revealed abnormalities in the deep peroneal nerve at the extensor retinaculum suggestive of compression. We performed operative treatment.

**Technical tips**

With the patient in the supine position under spinal anesthesia with a pneumatic tourniquet applied to the thigh, we made a dorsal incision along the course of the EHL tendon and identified the distal stump at the level of the neck of the first metatarsal. We extended the incision proximal to the level of the extensor retinaculum, where we identified the proximal stump. We debrided each end of both stumps and noted a large tendon defect (Figure 3A). We identified some osteophytes at the talonavicular joint and debrided them as well. In the middle third of the lower leg, we made a 3-cm longitudinal incision just medial to the tibia (Figure 3B). We then made an incision in the fascia, identified the plantaris tendon at its descending course and performed a proximal tenotomy. We harvested the plantaris tendon by advancing a stripper down to its calcaneal insertion, thus obtaining a 14-cm graft (Figure 3C). We anastomosed the graft to the proximal and distal stumps using 3-0 Vicryl® with a latero-lateral technique (Figure 3D). We closed the wounds in layers (Figure 3E) and applied a negative-pressure dressing (PICO®). We confirmed that the neutral position of the hallux was maintained after the repair (Figure 3F) and applied a short-leg cast. We removed the stitches after 2 weeks, when the patient began physical therapy with ROM exercises (except for plantar flexion). We then placed a moldable thermoplastic splint to hold the hallux in extension postoperatively (Figure 3G). We kept the patient non-weight-bearing for 6 weeks after surgery. At 3 months, the patient resumed her daily activities with no restrictions.

The correct position of the hallux was maintained after rehabilitation until the last visit (Figure 2H).

**Discussion**

Extensor tendon injuries account for 1% of all foot injuries. The surgical treatment of neglected extensor tendon ruptures (>6 weeks) often requires the use of grafts due to tendon stump fibrosis and retraction. Al-Qattan proposed an anatomic classification based on the zone of injury:

- **Zone 1:** at the insertion site on the distal phalanx.
- **Zone 2:** the area between zones 1 and 3.
- **Zone 3:** over the first metatarsophalangeal joint.

---

**Figure 3.** Radiographs and magnetic resonance images.
Zone 4: on the dorsum of the foot between zones 3 and 5.
Zone 5: laceration of the tendon beneath the extensor retinaculum.
Zone 6: in the lower leg proximal to the extensor retinaculum.

For late reconstruction of the EHL tendon requiring lengthening, techniques have been described using EDL, semitendinosus, gracilis, peroneal longus, plantaris tendon, and accessory extensor of the EHL tendon, in addition to the possibility of using an allograft from a tissue bank.

The use of grafts has been associated with donor-site morbidity, such as pain and loss of function. Using an allograft is an option to avoid donor-site morbidity; however, allografts are not widely available and require special infrastructure for collection, sterilization, and transportation.

Surgical results can be classified according to the grading system proposed by Lipscomb and Kelly apud Al-Qattan, as follows: good, the patient has normal ROM of the hallux (compared to contralateral) and no pain; fair, the patient has painless, active hallux extension and no tripping over the hallux when walking barefoot; and poor, the patient has no active hallux extension, tripping over the hallux when walking barefoot, or persistent pain.

We described here a technique with low patient morbidity, since the plantaris muscle is of secondary importance in biomechanics and is present in more than 90% of individuals. The plantaris is one of the muscles of the superficial posterior compartment of the leg, originating from the lateral femoral condyle and inserting into the medial side of the calcaneus in most patients. It acts as an ankle plantar flexor and is a weak knee flexor. Savita et al. reported that the plantaris tendon length ranged from 34 to 38 cm in 66.5% of cases, being absent in 12.5%.

Yammine et al., in a meta-analysis conducted in 2019 that compiled the results of 41 studies with a total of 10,062 legs, also showed that plantaris tendon grafts had satisfactory length (30.63±5.87 cm) and thickness (3.68±1.37 mm). These morphologic features are important because this graft allows us to perform several surgical techniques, including double grafts for greater resistance.

In the case reported here, the plantaris muscle, as it is a vestigial structure with a long tendon, provided a safe tendon graft option with low associated morbidity for the reconstruction of chronic EHL ruptures with large gaps between tendon stumps.
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