Metatarsophalangeal arthrodesis of the hallux using a minimally invasive technique
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Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the outcomes of the metatarsophalangeal arthrodesis (MTPA) of the hallux using a percutaneous technique.

Methods: The MTPA of the hallux was performed in a total of 27 feet: 20 patients diagnosed with hallux rigidus and 7 with rheumatoid arthritis. The mean postoperative follow-up time was 30.7 months. The results were evaluated using the visual analogue scale (VAS) for pain, the American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society (AOFAS) forefoot score, and regards to union rate.

Results: All 27 patients were operated percutaneously and noticed relief of the pain, with a mean increase of 50.9 points in AOFAS scores and a mean decrease of 7.4 points in the VAS. The mean union time was 10 weeks. There were no cases of nonunion.

Conclusion: Percutaneous first MTP arthrodesis proved effective for treating hallux rigidus and degenerative rheumatic pathologies.

Level of Evidence IV; Therapeutic Studies; Cases Series.
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Introduction

Hallux rigidus is the second most common pathology of the first ray of the foot, involving pain and stiffness of the first metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint(1). Several surgical techniques have been described to relieve symptoms, such as cheilectomy, distal metatarsal osteotomies, MTP arthrodesis, and arthroplasties(2).

MTP arthrodesis was first described in 1883 by Clutton(3), who recommended it for hallux valgus. In 1887, Cotterill(4) proposed using arthrodesis to treat hallux rigidus after having good results with said technique.

Currently, first MTP arthrodesis is considered the gold standard for surgical treatment of hallux rigidus, especially in cases classified as Shurnas and Coughlin(5) grades 3 and 4. Coughlin and Shurnas(6) found good or excellent results in 90% of the feet they treated with this procedure. The technique can also be used to treat severe deformities, such as those due to rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and post-traumatic sequelae. In search of minimally invasive techniques, several authors have described arthroscopic(7,8) or percutaneous(1,2,9,10) versions of the procedure.

This study aimed to evaluate the results of percutaneous first MTP arthrodesis, including union rate, pain improvement, and patient satisfaction.

Methods

This retrospective study, which was approved by our institution’s ethics committee and registered in Plataforma Brasil (number 36116220.8.0000.5501), was conducted at a university hospital and the private clinic of two of the authors between January 2016 and January 2020. All patients underwent percutaneous MTP arthrodesis following the technique proposed by Bauer et al.(9) in 2010.

The patients underwent pre- and postoperative assessment with the American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) forefoot score(11), which had been previously translated into Portuguese, and the visual analog scale (VAS) for pain(12), in addition to weight-bearing radiographs in the anteroposterior and lateral projections of the foot. The clinical and radiographic stage of MTP joint arthrosis was classified using the Hattrup and Johnson grading system as modified by Coughlin and Shurnas(6). In the postoperative radiographic
routine, the first MTP joint angle of the hallux was digitally measured on the weight-bearing anteroposterior and lateral view of the foot.

Our sample included patients with moderate or severe arthrosis (grades 3 and 4) of the first MTP joint of the hallux who reported a pain level >7 on the VAS.

We excluded patients who had undergone previous surgery on the evaluated foot, had MTP arthritis due to traumatic sequelae or had less than 6 months of postoperative follow-up.

Initially, the data were analyzed descriptively. Categorical variables were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies, while numerical variables were expressed as summary measures (mean, median, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation).

The distributions of characteristics and means between the groups were compared using Fisher’s exact test and Student’s t-test for independent samples, respectively. The mean AOFAS and VAS scores between the time points were compared using Student’s t-test for paired samples. The mean AOFAS scores between the time points according to the group diagnose were compared using a mixed linear model. The mixed linear model incorporated the effect of each patient in the form of a random effect, considering a possible dependence between observations in the same individual.

Normal distribution of the data was assumed in both Student’s t-test and the mixed linear model, which was verified with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A significance level of 5% was used for all tests. The statistical analyses were performed in SPSS 20.0 and Stata 12.

Material and patient positioning
The procedures were performed by a team of five orthopedic surgeons specialized in Foot and Ankle Surgery. While preparing for the procedure, the patient was positioned in horizontal dorsal decubitus with the feet off of the operating table, under locoregional anesthesia. No tourniquets were used. A MIS 64 blade (BD Beaver Blades, Becton Dickinson, 1 Becton Drive, Franklin Lakes, N.J), a 3.1 mm wedge burr, and a long Shannon burr were used to perform the technique.

Surgical approach
The initial approach was at the medial edge of the MTP joint, guided by a gauge needle inserted in the joint space. Next, a 0.5 cm incision was made with the MIS 64 blade. A second lateral access to the MTP joint was made using the same technique. In patients with a prominent dorsal exostosis, a third, more dorsal incision was used for adequate resection (Figure 1).

Exostectomy and joint preparation
The exostosis was thinned using a 3.1 mm wedge burr through a dorsomedial surgical access point. The resection was guided by radioscopy until a flat surface was obtained on the metatarsal head.

The joint was prepared with a long Shannon burr, seeking to remove the entire cartilaginous surface (Figure 2). With a rasp, joint fragments were removed.

Arthrodesis and fixation
The MTP joint was positioned for arthrodesis under fluoroscopy and with a radiolucent platform to imitate plantar foot support. After obtaining the ideal position of 15° dorsiflexion in relation to the platform and 5-10° of valgus in the MTP joint, provisional fixation of the MTP joint was performed using two 1.5 mm Kirschner wires crossed in a medial and lateral configuration. Fixation was then performed with self-compressing 2.7 mm Herbert-type cannulated screws (Figure 3).

Postoperative care
Walking with hard-soled sandals was allowed after the first postoperative day. The patients returned to our office in each of the first 4 postoperative weeks to change the dressing and, in the subsequent 4 weeks, they changed the dressing.
at home. Radiographic control was requested in the 1st, 4th, 8th, and 12th postoperative weeks. In cases of delayed union, new monthly radiographs were requested until the union was radiographically proven.

Results

We analyzed data from 27 patients with a mean age of 57.4 years (SD, 8.5 years; range 38 to 73 years). Twenty of these patients (74.1%) were diagnosed with hallux rigidus, and the other 7 were diagnosed with RA.

Overall, 63% (17) of the patients were female. The mean follow-up time was 30.7 months (SD, 8.7) and the mean union time was 2.8 months (SD, 1.2), ranging from 4 to 24 weeks (or 1 to 6 months, as shown in Table 1). The patients’ mean VAS pain score decreased by 8.4 points (SD, 1.3) between the pre- and postoperative periods.

Table 2 shows the differences in mean AOFAS (p<0.001) and VAS (p<0.001) scores between the time points. There was a mean increase of 50.9 points (SD, 13.5) in AOFAS scores and a mean reduction of 7.4 points (SD, 1.5 points) in VAS scores. In both groups, mean increases were observed between the first and second AOFAS applications (p<0.001): 621 points in the RA group, and 470 points in the hallux rigidus group. However, the mean increase in the RA group was 15.2 points higher than that of the hallux rigidus group (95% CI: 5.3 - 25.1).

Among the complications, there was a case of intraoperative guidewire breakage. The patient initially complained of grade 3 pain on the VAS scale in the lateral and dorsal region of the MTP, but it evolved to spontaneous resolution. Thus, there was no need to remove the wire, which remained in place as a lost synthesis. In 2 feet, screw removal was necessary due to complaints of discomfort. The arthrodesis union was delayed in 1 patient, including signs of bone callus only after 24 weeks postoperatively. There were no cases of malunion, infection, deep vein thrombosis, or severe pain.

Discussion

Two pathologies were found to cause alterations in the MTP joint in this sample: rheumatoid arthritis and hallux rigidus. Most of the cases were due to hallux rigidus (20 feet), with only 7 patients in the RA group. This finding may be because hallux rigidus is the main degenerative pathology of the first MTP joint, which typically causes pain, limited mobility, and periarticular exostosis with subsequent deformation of the joint itself[14-16]. Even with radiographs indicating osteoarthritic changes in the joint, we believe that pain is an important clinical parameter for indicating MTP arthrodesis, since this is the main patient complaint, and pain improvement helps quantify postoperative results[17,18]. Although there is no gold standard for analyzing the results, the AOFAS forefoot scale provided us with enough information to compare the results of this surgical technique.

Although other studies have shown good or excellent results for first MTP arthrodesis through open[6,7,19-21] and arthroscopic[22] surgery, percutaneous surgery has certain advantages over these techniques, since it is less invasive and involves little aggression to the soft tissues, in addition to being performed with locoregional anesthesia and allowing early ambulation[2,21].

Unlike Bauer et al.[9], we did not use a tourniquet on the calf to keep the member exsanguinated, since the blood flowing through the incision helps cool the burr, preventing bone and soft tissue burns.

With the percutaneous technique, the joint can be prepared with a “flat to flat” interface[1,9,20] whose results are very similar to the convex-concave joint preparations of open surgery[12,27].

Another divergent point in the literature is whether or not to maintain the bone debris produced by the exostectomy[22]. We understand that it should be maintained and that it helps in the bone callus formation process. We agree with Bauer[20] and Nogueira et al.[34] that bone resection must be performed carefully to avoid shortening the first ray and poor positioning.

Regarding fixation methods, according to the literature, using plates and screws specifically designed for MTP arthrodesis and fixation with two crossed screws are equally rigid and strong[9,20]. Thus, percutaneous screw fixation can obtain excellent results. Coughlin and Shurnas followed up more than 200 patients who received fixation with 2 percutaneous screws, finding good results in more than 90% of cases, in addition to short surgery time, quick recovery, and few surgical complications[6].

The mean union time in our sample was 2.8 months, slightly higher than that of Nogueira et al.[30], who reported bone callus formation 2 months after surgery. Regarding possible nonunions, Bauer[34] reported that radiological nonunion occurred in 10% of his patients, although they were asymptomatic. Union occurred in all of the feet in our sample. Callus formation was delayed in only one foot, although complete MTP fusion had occurred 6 months postoperatively.
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Table 1. Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (N=27)</th>
<th>RA (N=7)</th>
<th>HR (N=20)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17 (63.0)</td>
<td>5 (71.4)</td>
<td>12 (60.0)</td>
<td>0.678*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10 (37.0)</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
<td>8 (40.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>57.4 ± 8.5</td>
<td>61.0 ± 6.2</td>
<td>56.1 ± 9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Minimum - Maximum)</td>
<td>58 (38 - 73)</td>
<td>62 (51 - 68)</td>
<td>56.5 (38 - 73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up (months)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>30.7 ± 8.7</td>
<td>33.0 ± 11.6</td>
<td>29.9 ± 7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Minimum - Maximum)</td>
<td>28 (22 - 52)</td>
<td>30 (22 - 52)</td>
<td>26.5 (22 - 43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preoperative AOFAS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>29.3 ± 11.7</td>
<td>20.7 ± 12.7</td>
<td>32.4 ± 9.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Minimum - Maximum)</td>
<td>30 (5 - 45)</td>
<td>15 (5 - 40)</td>
<td>35 (15 - 45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preoperative VAS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Minimum - Maximum)</td>
<td>9 (6 - 10)</td>
<td>8 (7 - 10)</td>
<td>9 (6 - 10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UT (months)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Minimum - Maximum)</td>
<td>2.5 (1 - 6)</td>
<td>2 (2 - 3)</td>
<td>2.8 (1 - 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p: descriptive level of Student’s t-test or Fisher’s exact test(a).

Table 2. Measures - summary of AOFAS and VAS scores according to assessment time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Post-Pre</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOFAS</td>
<td>29.3 ± 11.7</td>
<td>80.2 ± 6.6</td>
<td>50.9 ± 13.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>-7.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p: descriptive level of t-test for paired samples.
AOFAS: American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Forefoot Score; VAS: Visual analog scale for pain.

We agree with Bauer(10) that the main strategies for a successful first MTP arthrodesis are decortication of the entire articular surface, fixation with 2 cannulated or self-compressing screws, correct positioning of the MTP joint for arthrodesis, and avoiding excessive bone decortication in patients with extensive osteochondral lesions or severe osteoporosis. Following these guidelines, firm and stable fixation is guaranteed(9). We believe that no cases of malunion (or union in a non-ideal position) occurred because we maintained the angle described in the surgical technique.

As study limitations, we point out that the same team of surgeons performed both the surgeries and the pre- and postoperative assessments, which could lead to performance bias. Since this was a retrospective study to assess the results of a single surgical technique, there was no control group. The percutaneous technique results in less aggression to soft tissues and allows ambulation in the immediate postoperative period(1,2,9,10). However, the surgeon’s experience level is also important, since the learning curve for this technique is long and can lead to unsatisfactory results if performed poorly. Since no large-scale randomized comparative studies have demonstrated the superiority of any one technique, we conclude that both open and minimally invasive procedures are useful tools in the arsenal of orthopedists and podiatrists.

Conclusions

Percutaneous arthrodesis proved to be an effective treatment for hallux rigidus and RA in the first MTP joint, with an excellent rate of union and patient satisfaction. Both AOFAS and VAS pain scores improved significantly in the postoperative period.
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