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Abstract

Hallux arthrodesis is a prominent technique, both in primary surgery and in reoperations. New devices and instruments have significantly improved outcomes, minimizing complications, although it is a demanding intervention from the technical point of view and not exempt from complications. Arthrodesis is indicated in cases of primary or secondary hallux rigidus, and also as a salvage surgery for previous failed treatments such as joint sparing osteotomies or arthroplasty. Proper arthrodesis positioning is important, maintaining a dorsiflexion of 10-15º with a hallux valgus angle of nearly 10º and neutral rotation, in order to prevent complications such as hallux varus or interphalangeal joint arthritis. Arthrodesis outcomes are excellent from the clinical-functional and radiological point of view, with a union rate of 93.5%; however, this technique is not exempt from complications such as nonunion, malunion, need for hardware removal, and skin and healing problems. Nonetheless, this technique provides excellent outcomes.
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Introduction

Hallux rigidus is defined as a metatarsophalangeal (MTP) and metatarsosesamoid arthritis of the great toe that causes limited mobility, especially in dorsiflexion range of motion. As a consequence, patients experience changes in gait and pain. During the takeoff phase of gait, or “third rocker”, dorsiflexion of 65º-75º of the MTP joint (MTPJ) of the great toe is required (1). Hallux rigidus may be distinguished into primary and secondary. Secondary hallux rigidus appears as a sequel of previous surgeries, especially of interventions such as Keller resection arthroplasty or percutaneous surgery, as well as in other conditions such as after trauma or microtrauma, in metabolic changes such as gout, in inflammatory processes such as rheumatoid arthritis or osteochondritis dissecans (Figure 1).

Methods

Indications

Main indications are primary end-stage hallux rigidus (grades III or IV), in cases of secondary hallux rigidus, especially in sequelae of Keller-Brandes-Lelievre resection arthroplasty, minimally invasive percutaneous surgery, or in cases of failure with other techniques for the treatment of hallux rigidus, whether joint sparing surgical procedures such as osteotomy or arthroplasty or hemiarthroplasty (2).
It is important to maintain a mobile interphalangeal joint for the success of intervention. Arthrolysis may also be performed if necessary. At the time of selecting the surgical technique, it is essential to assess the size of the proximal phalanx of the hallux (P1) in order to perform single- or two-stage arthrodesis, as we will subsequently show. Furthermore, other comorbidities that may be associated with a higher rate of complications should be considered, such as diabetes mellitus, obesity, smoking, vascular diseases, etc.

**Surgical Technique**

**Approach**

Most cases consist of severe hallux rigidus and primary arthrodesis; thus, we recommend using dorsal approach. In reoperations in which medial approach to the MTPJ was previously used, this is the preferred approach. The advantage of dorsal approach is better exposure of the joint; however, if we choose dorsal plaque fixation, the implant is positioned immediately below the extensor tendon of the great toe, with the possible complications resulting from tendon irritation.

**Joint surface preparation**

Currently, the most used form of joint preparation are concave-convex reamers, which allows for a greater joint congruence and the possibility of correcting spatial plane deviations. There are other forms of joint surface preparation, such as simple chondral resection with gouge and cartilage excision, planar sections using a saw, or minimally invasive arthroscopic or percutaneous techniques.

In a systematic review, Hodel et al. concluded that minimally invasive techniques are promising in terms of clinical outcomes, complication rate, and union rate. These techniques have the advantage of leading to fewer soft tissue injuries, and their disadvantages result from poorer osteosynthesis and limitations in placing the hallux in the proper position.

We recommend preparation with concave-convex reamers and subsequent both stimulation through perforations with the same reaming guide wire on the surfaces of metatarsal head and P1 base. From the technical point of view, it is important to perform reaming directly with the approximate size of reams rather than progressive increasing from an initial small reaming.

**Arthrodesis position**

The most commonly accepted position is 10-15º of dorsiflexion with a hallux valgus or metatarsophalangeal angle of 0-15º in the axial plane and neutral rotation. Over the last years, there has been a trend to reduce MTPJ dorsiflexion. This optimal position is essential for the correct contact of joint surfaces. Arthrodesis position may be intraoperatively tested using a hard surface and performing a load simulation test. It is important for the nail to the directed towards the zenith, in order to prevent malrotations.

Correct arthrodesis position is important to prevent complications such as hallux varus or interphalangeal joint arthritis.

**Fixation methods**

Numerous types of implants have been developed over the last years. In the early 1990’s, dorsal plates started to experience a significant growth. Previously, Kirschner wires, cannulated screws, usually crossed, or pins biodegradables had been employed. Several biomechanical studies have endorsed the superiority of plates, whether associated with interfragmentary compression screws or not. New designs of low-profile plates with the possibility of employing threaded screws increase stability, including in situations of bones with osteoporosis.

Asif et al. advocate for the use of cannulated screws, stating that it is a simple technique with a lower economic cost than dorsal plates and with good results in terms of union rate, showing a nonunion rate of 6.6%, although up to 36% of these cases are asymptomatic. Other authors also endorse the use of cross cannulated screws, based on biomechanical stability and union rates.

Recently, intramedullary devices have been developed for MTPJ fixation. These devices have the advantage of prevented hardware removal related to dorsal plates, and satisfactory outcomes have been published from the clinical point of view and high union rates (95%).
Roukis\textsuperscript{(27)} conducted a systematic review of 2,656 arthrodesis procedures performed in cases of hallux valgus (47.2%), hallux rigidus (32%), rheumatoid arthritis (11.5%), and revision of failed surgery (9.3%) and fixed with cannulated screws, dorsal plaques, and staples. The incidence of nonunion was 5.4%, of malunion was 6.1%, and of hardware removal was 8.5%. The author concluded that union rate is higher when joint surfaces are prepared with low velocity systems and did not find statistically significant differences in fixation when comparing cannulated screws, locked plates, or non-locked plates, with a union rate of 93.5%, showing better results when the procedure is indicated for hallux rigidus.

Over the last years, nickel and titanium staples have been increasing popular, with or without shape memory for MTP arthrodesis, isolated or associated with other fixation systems such as screws or intramedullary devices, with good outcomes and high union rates\textsuperscript{(18,19)}.

**Discussion**

Metatarsophalangeal arthrodesis is the procedure of choice for the surgical correction of severe hallux valgus with great MTPJ instability, especially when associated with osteoarthritic degenerative processes or rheumatoid arthritis. It is equally useful and provides good results for first ray reconstruction due to sequela from previous operations. Moreover, arthrodesis is an excellent option in young active patients, allowing for resumption of sports activities in 88% of patients\textsuperscript{(22)}.

Arthrodesis success depends on technical and biological factors. Technical factors include satisfactory joint surface preparation, proper fusion position, and fixation technique. Biological factors include comorbidities (such as inflammatory arthropathy and vascular diseases), regular medications (such as corticosteroids, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or immunosuppressive agents) that may impair union, and smoking. Joint surface preparation with cup and cone removers has the advantage of being versatile in the positioning of fusion site and increasing stiffness at the fusion site\textsuperscript{(23)}. The use of planar sections in joint surfaces may increase the area of fusion surface; Politi et al.\textsuperscript{(24)} suggest that this preparation provide more stability than conical reaming when oblique compression screws are used for fixation; however, this type of planar preparation predetermines arthrodesis alignment.

Postoperative treatment may also be a determining factor for union success. Ellington et al.\textsuperscript{(25)} found a nonunion rate of 12% in patients who started weight-bearing with a boot on the second postoperative week. Hunt et al.\textsuperscript{(26)} described a nonunion rate of 23% with locked plate using the same postoperative protocol. Our protocol allows performing partial weight-bearing in the immediate postoperative period with rigid-sole shoes for 6 weeks, which enables earlier mobilization, facilitates patient’s discharge on the day of the surgery, allows for an earlier return to work, and does not seem to have an adverse effect on union.

**Postoperative care**

Currently, the most spread postoperative protocol allows for immediate partial weight-bearing with rigid shoes during the first 6 weeks and for their subsequent removal and progressive weight-bearing with conventional shoes.

**Arthrodesis - distraction with intercalary graft**

In cases of P1 deficiency due to shortening of 2.5-3 cm in length, it will be necessary to a cortico-spongy intercalary graft from the iliac crest and to perform single- or two-stage arthrodesis (Figure 2). The advantage of two-stage surgery with the first stage of surgical debridement, correction of deformity, and placement of a monolateral fixator is preventing neurovascular complications resulting from elongation\textsuperscript{(20)}.

Gradual elongation is gradually performed at a rate of 2 mm per day, with the close monitoring of toe vascularization of toe vascularization.

Since desired elongation is achieved, a new intervention is conducted after 2-3 weeks, with the removal of fixator through the same incision, resection of fibrous tissue remnants, and placement of autologous cortico-spongy bone graft from the iliac crest with the size previously measured between the two bone surfaces.

Afterwards, the entire assembly is stabilized with an appropriately contoured low-profile plate or with the same fixator exerting compression. Stability is greater with the plate. Currently, there are two plates available specific for reoperations that improve stability. Maintaining the external fixator as a definitive treatment is especially indicated in cases of poor soft tissue status or sequelae from infection.

Nuñez-Samper and Viladot\textsuperscript{(20,21)} published the surgical technique in a series of 40 patients, with satisfactory outcomes from the clinical point of view and a nonunion rate of 7.5%.

**Figure 2.** Technique of two-stage distraction arthrodesis for hallux rigidus as a sequel of Keller-Brandes-Lelievre intervention in a 29-year-old patient. A) initial x-rays. B) first stage of arthrodesis with monolateral Hoffman minifixator. C) final outcome after placement of a cortico-spongy graft and osteosynthesis with a revision dorsal plate.
With regard to the comparison between arthrodesis and total arthroplasty, Gibson and Thomson\(^{(28)}\) conducted a prospective randomized study (level II) and found that arthrodesis is more effective than total arthroplasty, observing a rate of up to 16% of early failure of MTP prosthesis, establishing a grade of recommendation B or moderate for the treatment of end-stage hallux rigidus (grade IV).

The main complication of arthrodesis is nonunion, whose frequency ranges from 0 to 30%\(^{(29)}\). The proper positioning of the hallux in the coronal and sagittal planes is essential to the success of intervention and to prevent complications. Most complications of hallux arthrodesis are related to technical failures\(^{(30)}\). The main complications are nonunion and malunion\(^{(31,32)}\). Other complications include problems related to hardware (Figure 3), progression of interphalangeal joint arthritis, and transfer metatarsalgia. The overall incidence of malunion is 6.1%, a phenomenon that 87.1% of the cases result from dorsiflexion position of joint surfaces, which also leads to microtrauma from footwear and presence of subungual ecchymosis. Furthermore, the dorsiflexion position overloads the first metatarsal and the sesamoids, resulting in residual pain. In the coronal plane, excessive abduction damages the skin of the first interdigital space and there is difficulty in wearing shoes due to excessive varus. The nonunion rate of after primary arthrodesis ranges from 0 to 20% in the literature\(^{(19)}\). It is critical to perform a correct joint surface preparation until reaching a properly vascularized healthy subchondral bone and an appropriate and stable fixation.

Cases of nonunion should be treated with placement of cortico-spongy graft and stabilization with a revision single dorsal plate, or sometimes double-plating, in order to improve arthrodesis stability\(^{(33)}\) (Figure 4).

**Conclusions**

Hallux arthrodesis is the current gold standard, or standard technique, for the treatment of severe symptomatic hallux rigidus (grades III and IV). Better outcomes are related with proper fusion positioning (10-15° of dorsiflexion and 10-15° of valgus) and careful joint surface preparation.

Malunion is not well tolerated by patients\(^{(27)}\). Dorsiflexion of less than 10 degrees may increase pressure on the tip of the great toe, whereas excessive dorsiflexion leads to increased pressure below the first metatarsal head. Excessive pronation or medial rotation of the great toe may lead to increased pressure along the medial area.
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